Appl. No.

10/773,556

**Filed** 

February 5, 2004

#### REMARKS

In the Final Office Action mailed August 22, 2005, the Examiner objected to the Specification for informal errors. The Examiner rejected Claims 7-9, 32-38, and 40 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶2 for various indefiniteness issues. The Examiner allowed Claims 1-6 and 10-31, and stated that Claims 7-9 32-38, and 40 would be allowable if amended to correct the foregoing By this paper, Applicant addresses each of the foregoing issues raised by the Examiner.

# Objection to the Specification

Paragraphs 0037 and 0086 have been amended as shown in the "AMENDMENTS TO THE SPECIFICATION" of this paper.

#### Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶2

Claims 7, 8, 9, 32, and 35 have been amended to address the various issues raised by the Examiner. With respect to Claims 8 and 9, Applicant believes that in their amended form, these two claims are sufficiently distinct so remain as separate claims.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Applicant thanks the Examiner for her careful and thoughtful consideration of the subject matter associated with the allowed and allowable claims.

### **Summary**

Applicant has endeavored to address all of the Examiner's concerns as expressed in the outstanding Final Office Action. In view of the above remarks, Applicant submits that the application is in condition for allowance and respectfully request the same. If the Examiner finds any remaining impediment to the prompt allowance of these claims that could be clarified with a telephone conference, the Examiner is invited to initiate the same with the undersigned.

Appl. No.

: 10/773,556

Filed

: February 5, 2004

Please charge any additional fees, including any fees for additional extension of time, or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 11-1410.

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated:

By:

Michael H. Trenholm Registration No. 37,743

Attorney of Record Customer No. 20,995

(951) 781-9231

AMEND

2316098 012306